### February 24, 2021

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL RAOUL URGES PASSAGE OF THE FOR THE PEOPLE ACT OF 2021

# Act is a Comprehensive Package of Reforms to Address Voter Suppression, Foreign Interference in Elections and Raise Ethical Standards of Federal Officials

**Chicago** — Attorney General Kwame Raoul, as part of a coalition of 21 attorneys general, today <u>sent a letter</u> to Congressional leadership urging support for H.R. 1/S. 1, the For the People Act of 2021. The legislation is an omnibus package of democracy reforms designed to expand access to the ballot, protect elections from foreign interference, force disclosure of dark money in federal elections and raise ethical standards for federal officials.

According to a Brennan Center report, at least 165 bills in 33 states have been introduced to restrict voting access this year – four times the number of similar bills introduced last year. Despite confirmation that there was no evidence of widespread fraud or irregularity in the 2020 election, state legislators have seized upon baseless voter-fraud allegations to curtail mail-in voting options, impose stringent voter ID requirements, limit voter registration opportunities and allow even more aggressive purging of voter rolls.

"Despite confirmation that there was absolutely no widespread fraud during the 2020 election, a disturbingly high number of state legislatures are using baseless allegations of irregularities to support efforts to restrict voting access," Raoul said. "With so many states seeking to prevent their citizens from exercising their right to vote, I am urging Congress to pass this legislation to improve access to the ballot and protect our elections."

The act includes several measures that would neutralize efforts to suppress voter turnout and modernize access to the ballot by:

- Requiring states to implement online registration.
- Establishing automatic voter registration.
- Prohibiting unnecessary purges of the voting rolls.
- Requiring states to permit voters in federal elections to submit a sworn statement to meet ID requirements.
- Expanding access to federal elections through early voting and mail-in voting.
- Prohibiting the knowing dissemination of false information about elections and stiffening penalties for voter intimidation.

Additionally, the act would close dark-money loopholes by requiring disclosure when wealthy donors give \$10,000 or more to a group that spends money on elections.

Finally, the act seeks to close legal loopholes that allow the president and certain federal officials to evade accountability for personally profiting from their offices by:

- Heightening disclosure requirements applicable to the president.
- Requiring the holder of the Office of the President to divest from financial interests that pose a conflict of interest.
- Providing the Office of Government Ethics with enhanced enforcement powers.
- Prohibiting members of Congress from serving on the board of directors of for-profit entities during their terms in office.

• Requiring the Judicial Conference of the United States to develop a code of ethics applicable to Supreme Court justices.

Joining Raoul in sending the letter are the attorneys general of Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and Washington.



#### **ELIZABETH F. HARRIS** Chief Deputy Attorney General

CAROLYN QUATTROCKI

## Deputy Attorney General

### STATE OF MARYLAND OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FACSIMILE NO. 410-576-7036

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NO. 410-576-6300

#### February 24, 2021

Hon. Nancy Pelosi Speaker House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Hon. Kevin McCarthy Minority Leader House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Hon. Chuck Schumer Majority Leader **United States Senate** Washington, DC 20510

Hon. Mitch McConnell Minority Leader **United States Senate** Washington, DC 20510

Dear Leader Schumer, Speaker Pelosi, Leader McConnell, and Leader McCarthy:

We, the undersigned Attorneys General of Maryland, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and Washington (collectively the "States"), write to express our support for H.R. 1/S. 1, the For the People Act of 2021 (the "Act"). The Act would strengthen our democracy by making it easier to vote, reducing the pernicious influence of dark money in elections, and codifying ethical standards for our public servants.

America faces a stark choice—whether to pursue the reforms necessary to make this country a functional multiracial democracy, or to accept the systemic and accelerating disenfranchisement of Black and other minority voters. According to a Brennan Center report, in 2021 legislative sessions to date, at least 165 bills in 33 states have been introduced to restrict voting access—four times the number of similar bills introduced last year. This new push for voter suppression follows the 2020 election, where a record number of Americans exercised their right to vote. Offering Americans new and convenient methods of voting, including expanded absentee and mail-in voting options, had the dual benefits of protecting the public health during the COVID-19 pandemic and enabling greater turnout.

Despite confirmation by former Attorney General Barr and others that there was no evidence of widespread fraud or irregularity in the 2020 election, state legislators have seized upon former President Trump's baseless voter-fraud allegations to curtail mail-in voting options, impose stringent voter ID requirements, limit voter registration opportunities, and allow even more

www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Brennan Ctr. for Just., Voting Laws Roundup 2021 (Feb. 8, 2021), https://www.brennancenter.org/ourwork/research-reports/voting-laws-roundup-2021-0.

aggressive purging of voter rolls.<sup>2</sup> In the wake of a safe and secure election, which enabled greater levels of voter participation than in over a century, we should be building on this progress, not dismantling it.

The Act includes several measures that would neutralize these cynical efforts at voter suppression by improving access to the ballot. Voters in many states face the frustrations of antiquated, error-ridden voter registration systems; the Act would modernize voter registration by requiring states to implement online registration, establish automatic voter registration, and prohibit unnecessary purges of the voting rolls. The Act also addresses discriminatory voter identification laws by requiring states to permit voters in federal elections to submit a sworn statement to meet ID requirements. Early voting provisions contained in the Act would expand access to federal elections by providing for at least 15 days of early voting at accessible locations and making available the option to vote by mail to anyone eligible to cast a vote in an election for federal office. Although the States' election laws vary, we have broad collective experience with the implementation of similar voting-access reforms and do not anticipate that the Act's mandates would prove overly burdensome to implement.

Critically, the Act would also confront the problem of partisan gerrymandering by putting redistricting in the hands of independent commissions. The threat of severe gerrymandering in the post-2020 redistricting process is especially acute given the Supreme Court's decision in *Shelby* County v. Holder, which effectively eliminated the preclearance protections contained in Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act ("VRA").<sup>3</sup> Without the preclearance restraints of the VRA and the corresponding oversight from the Department of Justice, there is a substantial risk that states with a history of racial discrimination will seek to minimize the political power of minority voters by drawing aggressive congressional district lines. By divesting redistricting power from politicians who manipulate the process to consolidate power, the Act will ensure that voters choose their representatives, not the other way around.

As the chief law enforcement officers of our respective states, we are well-acquainted with schemes to discourage, impede, and prevent our citizens from voting. In the lead up to November's election, disinformation designed to depress voter turnout was endemic, spread by bad actors through social media, robocalls, and texts. Thankfully, the fear of widespread, armed intimidation at polling places did not materialize last year. That possibility, however, looms in future elections—especially once election day turnout is no longer diminished due to an ongoing pandemic. By prohibiting the knowing dissemination of materially false information about elections and stiffening penalties for voter intimidation, the Act will provide law enforcement officials with the tools needed to thwart and punish those who attempt to interfere with the exercise of the fundamental right to vote.

The Act also contains important changes to campaign finance law designed to address the concerning rise of dark money in federal elections. Since the Supreme Court's ruling in Citizens

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 570 U.S. 529, 557 (2013).

*United v. FEC*,<sup>4</sup> dark money has flooded political campaigns at unprecedented levels.<sup>5</sup> As a result, billionaires, corporations, and special interest groups—groups that already had outsized voices in our political process—now wield even more power, often exercising that power anonymously through opaque "non-profits" that are not required to disclose their donors. The Act would close dark-money loopholes by requiring disclosure when wealthy donors give \$10,000 or more to a group that spends money on elections. As the Supreme Court has explained, "transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages." Bringing sunlight to political contributions is a crucial step to restoring faith in government.<sup>7</sup>

Last but certainly not least, the Act seeks to close a number of legal loopholes—revealed in striking and disturbing ways during former President Trump's term in office—that allow the President to evade accountability for personally profiting from the Office. In particular, the Act heightens disclosure requirements applicable to the president, requires the holder of the Office of the President to divest from financial interests that pose a conflict of interest, and ensures accountability by providing the Office of Government Ethics with enhanced enforcement powers. Surprising gaps in the ethics laws affecting non-presidential public servants would also be closed. For instance, the Act would prohibit members of Congress from serving on the board of directors of for-profit entities during their terms in office and, for the first time, require the Judicial Conference to develop a code of ethics applicable to Supreme Court Justices. Collectively, the ethics reforms contained in the Act would ensure that our public servants are working on behalf of America's best interests, not just their own.

American democracy needs repairing. The problems we face—outdated election infrastructure, unjustified barriers to voting, extreme gerrymandering, the polluting influence of dark money, and insufficient ethical constraints—urgently need addressing. We believe that the Act represents an important step toward addressing these problems and urge its swift passage.

Sincerely,

Brian E. Frosh

Maryland Attorney General

Philip J. Weiser

Colorado Attorney General

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 558 U.S. 310 (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Anna Massoglia, 'Dark money' in politics skyrocketed in the wake of Citizens United (Jan. 27, 2020), https://www.opensecrets.org/news/2020/01/dark-money-10years-citizens-united/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Citizens United, 558 U.S. at 371.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Unsuccessful challenges to analogous state laws, such as the Montana DISCLOSE Act, have demonstrated that such laws pass constitutional muster. *See Montanans for Cmty. Dev. v. Motl*, 216 F. Supp. 3d 1128 (D. Mont. 2016), *aff'd in part, dismissed in part sub nom. Montanans for Cmty. Dev. v. Mangan*, 735 F. App'x 280 (9th Cir. 2018), *cert. denied*, 139 S. Ct. 1165 (2019).

William Tong

Connecticut Attorney General

Karl Racine

District of Columbia Attorney General

Tom Miller

Iowa Attorney General

Maura Healey

Massachusetts Attorney General

Minnesota Attorney General

Gurbir Grewal

New Jersey Attorney General

New York Attorney General

Josh Shapiro

Pennsylvania Attorney General

Kathleen Jennings

Delaware Attorney General

Kwame Raoul

Illinois Attorney General

anon M. Frey

Aaron M. Frey

Maine Attorney General

Dana Nessel

Michigan Attorney General

Aaron D. Ford

Nevada Attorney General

**Hector Balderas** 

New Mexico Attorney General

Ellen F. Rosenblum

Oregon Attorney General

Peter Neronha

Rhode Island Attorney General

Thomas J. Donovan, Jr. Vermont Attorney General

Kot tem

Bob Ferguson
Washington Attorney General

Mark P. Herring

Mark P. Herring
Virginia Attorney General